Environmental Science and Pollution Research
https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-018-3417-9

RESEARCH ARTICLE

In vitro evaluation of genomic damage induced by glyphosate on human lymphocytes

Alfredo Santovito[1] · Stefano Ruberto[1] · Claudio Gendusa[1] · Piero Cervella[1]

Received: 29 May 2018 / Accepted: 8 October 2018

Abstract

Glyphosate is an important broad-spectrum herbicide used in agriculture and residential areas for weed and vegetation control, respectively. In our study, we analyzed the in vitro clastogenic and/or aneugenic effects of glyphosate by chromosomal aberrations and micronuclei assays. Human lymphocytes were exposed to five glyphosate concentrations: 0.500, 0.100, 0.050, 0.025, and 0.0125 μg/mL, where 0.500 μg/mL represents the established acceptable daily intake value, and the other concentrations were tested in order to establish the genotoxicity threshold for this compound. We observed that chromosomal aberration (CA) and micronuclei (MNi) frequencies significantly increased at all tested concentrations, with exception of 0.0125 μg/mL. Vice versa, no effect has been observed on the frequencies of nuclear buds and nucleoplasmic bridges, with the only exception of 0.500 μg/mL of glyphosate that was found to increase in a significant manner the frequency of nucleoplasmic bridges. Finally, the cytokinesis-block proliferation index and the mitotic index were not significantly reduced, indicating that glyphosate does not produce effects on the proliferation/mitotic index at the tested concentrations.

Keywords Human biomonitoring · Herbicides · Genotoxicology · Chromosomal aberrations · Micronuclei · Lymphocytes

Abbreviations

Ab.C	Aberrant cells
ADI	Acceptable daily intake
AF	Acentric fragments
B′	Chromatid breaks
B″	Chromosome breaks
BNCs	Binucleated cells
CAs	Chromosomal aberrations
CBPI	Cytokinesis-block proliferation index
DC	Dicentric
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
EFSA	European Food Safety Authority
IARC	International Agency for Research on Cancer
JMPR	Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues
MI	Mitotic index
MMC	Mitomycin-C
MNC	Micronucleated cell
MNi	Micronuclei
MRL	Maximum residue limits
NBUD	Nuclear buds
NPB	Nucleoplasmic bridges
R	Rings
RfD	Reference dose
SE	Standard error
TR	Tri-tetraradials
US EPA	US Environmental Protection Agency

Responsible editor: Philippe Garrigues

✉ Alfredo Santovito
alfredo.santovito@unito.it

[1] University of Turin, Department of Life Sciences and Systems Biology, Via Accademia Albertina n. 13, 10123 Torino, Italy

Introduction

Glyphosate is the most commonly used herbicide, employed in agriculture for weed control, in urban areas for vegetation control, and during harvesting for crop desiccant (Duke 2017). Because of its massive use, glyphosate is routinely detected in foodstuffs (EFSA 2014), air, water and rain (Majewski et al. 2014), food (Ferrer et al. 2011), and, consequently, in human biological samples (Hoppe et al. 2017). From an ecological point of view, glyphosate was found able to reduce the earthworm biomass and the soil microbial diversity (Bai and Ogbourne 2016), and at concentrations over 400 μg/L, it resulted potentially toxic for

Published online: 15 October 2018

some aquatic species, including amphibians and fish (King and Wagner 2010; Braz-Mota et al. 2015). Glyphosate was also suggested to have endocrine interference properties, and in humans it was associated with various disorders such as diabetes, obesity, asthma, and Alzheimer's and Parkinson's diseases (Romano et al. 2012; Kwiatkowska et al. 2016).

At genomic and cellular levels, glyphosate showed a genotoxic potential in vitro-cultured lymphocytes (Lioi et al. 1998; Mladinic et al. 2009), as well as it was found to affect the cell cycle regulation (Marc et al. 2004). However, other authors reported contradictory results or, in some cases, no clastogenic effects for this compound (Šiviková and Dianovský 2006; Piesova 2005).

Maximum residue limits (MRL) of glyphosate have been reviewed in 2015 by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA), and generally ranged from 0.025 to 2 mg/kg in different food sources (EFSA 2015).

Data about carcinogenicity and mutagenicity of glyphosate are discordant. In 2015, the EFSA established that, for this compound, there are no strong evidence of cytotoxicity and genotoxicity (EFSA 2015). On the contrary, in the same year, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC), citing sufficient evidence of carcinogenicity in experimental animals and in vitro systems, classified glyphosate as probably carcinogenic to humans (IARC 2015). However, in 2016, the Joint FAO/WHO Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) established that glyphosate is not carcinogenic in rats and carcinogenic in mice at very high doses, excluding the same risks to humans from exposure to glyphosate through the diet (FAO/WHO 2016). Finally, in 2017, the European Union recently extended the glyphosate use from the 15 December 2017 for another 5 years (European Commission 2017).

Based on available data about carcinogenicity, genotoxicity, and cytotoxicity, the US EPA established for glyphosate a reference dose (RfD) of 1.75 mg/kg body mass/day (US EPA 2012). Vice versa, the acceptable daily intake (ADI) established by JMPR/WHO and FAO/WHO was 1 mg/kg body mass/day (FAO/WHO 2014; FAO/WHO 2016), whereas the EFSA established the more precautionary ADI value of 0.5 mg/kg body mass/day (EFSA 2015).

Most of the published works were focused on the in vitro effects on human cells of high glyphosate concentrations (Mañas et al. 2009; Mladinic et al. 2009; Šiviková and Dianovský 2006; Koller et al. 2012), whereas few data were reported about the effects of small doses of this compound (Kašuba et al. 2017). For this reason, the aim of the present study was to evaluate the in vitro effects on human lymphocytes of low concentrations of glyphosate. We decided to test glyphosate concentrations corresponding to 0.5 μg/mL (EFSA ADI value) and its submultiples, by chromosomal aberration (CA) and micronuclei (MNi) assays that allow the evaluation of the clastogenic and/or aneugenic properties of a single compound or a mixture of different compounds.

Materials and methods

Study population

Peripheral venous blood was collected from six healthy Italian subjects (two males and four females, mean age ± SD, 27.50 ± 12.55), non-smoking, not alcoholics, not under drug therapy, and with no recent history of exposure to mutagens. All subjects signed the informed consent. The study was approved by the University of Turin ethics committee and was performed in accordance with the ethical standards laid down in the 2013 Declaration of Helsinki.

Blood sample collection and lymphocyte cultures

Blood samples were obtained by venipuncture, collected in heparinized tubes, cooled (4 °C), and processed within 2 h after collection. Lymphocyte cultures, fixation, and staining procedures were performed according to Santovito et al. (2018). Total time of lymphocyte cultures was 52 and 72 h for CA and MNi assays, respectively. After 24 h of incubation, 8.6 μL of glyphosate stock solution (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint Louis, MO, USA, CAS n. 1071-83-6) at the final concentration of 0.5 mg/mL in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to the lymphocyte culture in order to reach a final glyphosate concentration of 0.500 μg/mL. Similarly, 8.6 μL of glyphosate stock solution diluted 5, 10, 20, and 40 times with DMSO were added to the lymphocyte cultures in order to reach the final glyphosate concentrations of 0.100 μg/mL, 0.050 μg/mL, 0.025 μg/mL, and 0.0125 μg/mL, respectively. In particular, 0.500 μg/mL represents the ADI concentration established by EFSA for this compound, whereas 0.100, 0.050, 0.025, and 0.0125 μg/mL concentrations were tested in order to evaluate the genotoxicity threshold. Three control cultures were assessed: (1) positive control, by adding only MMC (final concentration 0.1 μg/mL culture); (2) 0.1% DMSO solvent control, obtained by adding 8.6 μL of DMSO to the lymphocyte culture; and (3) negative control culture without both glyphosate and DMSO, obtained adding 8.6 μL of RPMI medium to the lymphocyte culture. Only for MNi assay, after 44 h of incubation, cytochalasin-B was added to the cultures at a concentration of 6 μg/mL to block cytokinesis. Similarly, only for CA assay, to arrest cells in mitosis, colchicine was added at the concentration of 0.06 μg/mL during the last 2 h of culture. After 52 h (for CAs assay) and 72 h (for MNi assay) of incubation at 37°C, the cells were collected by centrifugation, treated for 10 min with a pre-warmed hypotonic solution (75 mM KCl). After centrifugation and removal of the supernatant, the cells were fixed with a solution of methanol/acetic acid (3:1 *v*/*v*). The treatment with the fixative was repeated three times. Finally, the supernatant was discarded, and the pellet, dissolved in a minimal volume of fixative, was seeded on the slides to detect CAs and MNi by conventional staining with 5% Giemsa (pH 6.8) prepared in Sörensen buffer.

Cytokinesis-block micronucleus assays

Microscope analysis was performed at ×400 magnification on a light microscope (Dialux 20, Leica, Germany). MNi, nucleoplasmic bridges (NPB), and nuclear buds (NBUD) were scored in 2000 binucleated lymphocytes with well-preserved cytoplasm per subject (total 12,000 binucleated cells per concentration). Cells containing one of more MNi were scored as "micronucleated cell" (MNC). A total of 2000 lymphocytes per donor per concentration were scored to evaluate the cytokinesis-block proliferation index (CBPI), according to the following formula: $[1 \times N1] + [2 \times N2] + [3 \times (N3 + N4)] / N$, where $N1$–$N4$ represents the number of cells with 1–4 nuclei, respectively, and N is the total number of cells scored.

Chromosomal aberration assay

Microscope analysis was performed at ×1000 magnification on a light microscope (Dialux 20, Leica, Germany). For each subject and glyphosate concentration, 200 complete complete metaphases (for a total of 1200 metaphases for each dose) were analyzed. Cells containing one of more types of CAs were scored as "aberrant cell" (Ab.C). In order to determine cytotoxicity, the mitotic index (MI) was calculated from the number of metaphases in 1000 cells analyzed per subject per concentration (a total of 6000 cells per concentration).

Statistical analysis

Comparison of mean values of the percentage of cells with MNi, MNC, CBPI, NPBs, NBUDs, CAs, Ab.C, and MI between exposure levels and controls was assessed by the nonparametric Mann-Whitney test. Statistical calculations were carried out using the SPSS software package program (version 24.0, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). All P values were two-tailed, and P values of 5% or less were considered statistically significant for all tests carried out.

Results

Effect of glyphosate on CA formation

Table 1 shows values of CAs found in the human peripheral lymphocytes cultured in the presence of different glyphosate concentrations. In Fig. 1, some examples of observed CAs were showed.

Glyphosate was found to induce the following structural CAs: gaps, chromatid and chromosome breaks, dicentric chromosomes, rings, tri-tetraradials, and acentric fragments. This last, together to chromatid breaks, represent the most frequent observed aberrations (Table 1). Because of the conflicting opinions about the possibility to consider gaps as indicators of genomic damage, we decided to exclude gaps from statistical analysis.

Glyphosate was found to significantly ($P = 0.004$) increase the CA and Ab.C frequencies at all tested concentrations when compared with the solvent control, including the concentration of 0.025 μg/mL ($P = 0.006$), but with the exception of 0.0125 μg/mL ($P = 0.181$). A dose effect was also observed, since the regression analysis revealed a significant correlation between glyphosate concentrations and the CA and Ab.C frequencies (Table 2). Vice versa, no significant differences ($P > 0.05$) were found between the DMSO solvent-control and the negative control, whereas the cultures treated with the MMC showed a significant increase of the cytogenetic damage with respect to all concentrations of glyphosate. Finally, no significant differences were found in the MI values between solvent control and all tested concentrations of glyphosate, although at 0.500 μg/mL, the P value resulted to be borderline ($P = 0.058$).

Effect of glyphosate on MNi formation

Table 3 shows the frequencies of MNi found in the human peripheral lymphocytes cultured in the presence of different glyphosate concentrations. In Fig. 2, some examples of binucleated cells with MNi, NPBs, and NBUDs are reported.

Similarly to what we already observed with the CA assay, our results indicated that glyphosate significantly increased ($P = 0.004$) the MNi frequency at all tested concentrations when compared to the solvent control, with exception of 0.0125 μg/mL ($P = 0.360$) (Table 3). Vice versa, no effect has been observed on the frequencies of NBUD and NPB, with the only exception of 0.500 μg/mL of glyphosate that was found to increase in a significant manner the frequency of NPBs with respect to the solvent control ($P = 0.004$). Also in this case, a relationship between the frequency of MNi and the concentrations of glyphosate was observed (Table 2), as well as the DMSO solvent-control cultures did not show significant differences ($P = 0.071$) with respect to the negative controls. MMC was found to significantly increase the MNi, NPB, and NBUD formation compared with the negative control solvent controls and all tested concentrations of glyphosate ($P < 0.001$), with exception of 0.500 μg/mL (0.373). After 48-h exposure, a significant reduction of the CBPI value in cultures treated with glyphosate was not observed ($P = 0.522$ for 0.500 μg/mL and $P = 0.336$ for all other concentrations of glyphosate), indicating that, at the tested concentrations, glyphosate does not seem to produce effects on the proliferation index. Finally, at 0.500 μg/mL, glyphosate significantly ($P = 0.004$) induced the NPB formation, whereas no differences were found in the frequency of NBUD between DMSO solvent control and all glyphosate concentrations.

Table 1 Induction of chromosomal aberrations by Glyphosate in human lymphocytes in vitro Number of scored metaphases for each concentration = 1200

Test substance (μg/mL)	Structural CAs							Total CAs	Total CAs + Gaps	Total Ab.C	Total Ab.C + Gaps	(%) CAs/Cell ± S.E.	(%) Ab.C/Cell ± S.E.	(%) MI ± S.E.
	Gaps	B'	B"	DC	R	TR	AF							
NC	8	8	2	0	0	0	7	17	25	17	25	1.417±0.154	1.417±0.154	5.567±0.042
0.1% DMSO	10	9	4	1	0	6	7	27	37	27	37	2.250±0.335	2.250±0.250	5.433±0.056
MMC (0.100)	41	46	30	9	10	14	36	145	186	127	168	12.083±0.300[a]	10.583±0.473	4.200±0.058[a]
Gly (0.500)	17	41	12	12	3	0	28	96	113	95	112	8.000±0.428[a]	7.917±0.375	5.300±0.026
Gly (0.100)	23	31	10	7	2	2	23	75	98	75	98	6.250±0.359[a]	6.250±0.359	5.333±0.080
Gly (0.050)	9	21	6	7	0	0	16	50	59	50	59	4.167±0.167[a]	4.167±0.167	5.367±0.095
Gly (0.025)	10	15	4	4	3	0	20	46	56	46	56	3.833±0.211[b]	3.833±0.211[b]	5.383±0.040
Gly (0.0125)	8	14	5	1	0	0	14	34	42	34	42	2.833±0.211	2.833±0.211	5.400±0.037

CAs, chromosomal aberrations; *Ab.C*, aberrant cells (cells with 1 ore more aberrations); *MI*, Mitotic Index; *NC*, Negative Control; *MMC*, Mitomycin-C; *B'*, chromatid break; *B"*, chromosome break; *DC*, dicentric; *R*, ring; *TR*, tri-tetraradials; *AF*, acentric fragments; *S.E.*, standard error; *Gly*, Gliphosate

[a] $P = 0.004$; [b] $P < 0.006$ (significantly differs from the DMSO solvent control, Mann-Whitney test)

Discussion

Glyphosate is an active ingredient of most widely used herbicides. Although it is believed to be less toxic than other herbicides, data about its possible genotoxicity are controversial and IARC classified this compound as probably carcinogenic to human (IARC 2015).

The genotoxic effects of high concentrations of glyphosate have been documented, although with contradictory results, in a great number of scientific papers (for a review, see Kier and Kirkland (2013)), as well as in evaluation reports of different international agencies (EFSA 2015; FAO/WHO 2016; IARC 2015). On the other hand, the effects of low concentrations of this compound, likely to be encountered in everyday life, were poorly investigated (Kašuba et al. 2017).

Results of our study provided information about in vitro clastogenic effects of glyphosate on human lymphocytes at the low ADI concentration of 0.500 μg/mL and its submultiples. Based on the obtained data, it can be concluded that glyphosate significantly increased the CA and MNi levels in human lymphocytes at the ADI concentration of 0.500 μg/mL established by EFSA and at its submultiple concentrations, up to 0.025 μg/mL. The mechanisms underlying genotoxic potential of glyphosate alone or in complex with other



Fig. 1 Example of complete metaphase carrying an acentric fragment (**a**) and other four different metaphases details showing some examples of observed chromosomal aberrations. The arrows indicate, respectively, acentric fragment (**a**), tetraradial (**b**), dicentric chromosome (**c**), and ring (**d**). All these aberrations were observed at 0.500 μg/mL concentration of glyphosate, with exception of the tetraradial aberration observed at 0.1 μg/mL concentration

Table 2 Multiple regression analysis between Glyphosate concentrations

Biomarker	β-co	95% CI (Lower) – (Upper)	*P*-value
CAs	0.914	(2.112) – (2.988)	<0.001
Cells with CAs	0.919	(2.099) – (2.935)	<0.001
MI	-0.275	(-0.666) – (0.099)	0.141
MNi	0.908	(4.025) – (5.075)	<0.001
Cells with MNi	0.935	(3.639) – (4.527)	<0.001
CBPI	0.269	(-28.171) – (4.571)	0.151
NPBs	0.674	(0.268) – (0.665)	<0.001
NBUDs	0.395	(0.023) – (0.444)	0.031

CAs, Chromosomal Aberrations; *MI*, Mitotic Index; *MNi*, Micronuclei; *CBPI*, Cytokinesis-block Proliferation Index; *NPBs*, nucleoplasmic bridges; *NBUDs*, nuclear buds

compounds are unknown, although the exposure to glyphosate was found to trigger oxidative processes involved in the increase of the genomic damage (Marques et al. 2014). NPB frequency was found to increase with increasing glyphosate concentrations, although a statistical significance was found only at the higher glyphosate concentration (Table 3). However, we obtained a significant linear regression (Table 2) due to a steady increase with the dose, indicating a possible effect of the compound inducing this kind of damage, which is consistent with the increased dicentric frequency observed in the chromosomal aberration test.

Also, other authors analyzed in vitro the genotoxic potential of glyphosate in lymphocytes, but at exposure levels of higher magnitude orders (Kier and Kirkland 2013). For example, Mladinic et al. (2009), in human lymphocytes cultured without S9 and in the presence of glyphosate at concentrations of 3.5, 92.8, and 580 μg/mL, observed a slightly increased frequency of MNi and a significant tail length increase after a comet assay. Other authors evaluated the induction of CAs and MNi in blood cells of other animal models. Lioi et al. (1998) reported positive clastogenic and genotoxic effects of glyphosate on bovine peripheral lymphocytes cultured in vitro with herbicide concentrations ranging from 17 μM (2.874 μg/mL) to 170 μM (28.740 μg/mL), whereas Šiviková and Dianovský (2006) reported no CAs effect of glyphosate at concentrations ranging from 28 (4.734 μg/mL) to 1120 μM (189 μg/mL). Contradictory results were obtained by Piesova (2005), who observed, after 48 h of treatment without S9, a statistically significant increase in MNi frequency at 280 μM (47.34 μg/mL) but not at 560 μM (94.68 μg/mL) of glyphosate in one donor, and the opposite in a second donor (positive at 560 μM but not at 280 μM). Finally, Alvarez-Moya et al. (2014), in in vitro experiments based on comet assay, showed that 7 mM of glyphosate (1183 μg/mL) caused DNA damage in blood cells of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*).

Concentrations of glyphosate similar to those evaluated in the present paper were tested by Kašuba et al. (2017) in HepG2 cells by the MNi assay. Similarly to what we observed in human lymphocytes, these authors found a significantly higher number of MNi at the ADI value of 0.500 μg/mL, as well as at the residential exposure level of 2.91 μg/mL, after 4 h of treatment. Vice versa, negative results on Hep-2 cells were obtained by Mañas et al. (2009) with CA assay at glyphosate concentrations of 0.20 mM (33.8 μg/mL), 1.20 mM (203 μg/mL), and 6.00 mM (1014 μg/mL).

Significant levels of DNA damage were also observed in human buccal epithelial cells exposed to glyphosate

Table 3 Induction of micronuclei by Glyphosate in human lymphocytes in vitro. Number of scored binucleated cells for each concentration of the test substance = 12,000

Test substance (μg/mL)	Distribution of BNCs according to the number of MNi				MNi	MNC	MNi/BNCs ± S.E. (%)	MNC/BNCs ± S.E. (%)	CBPI ± S.E	Frequency of BNCs with NPBs (‰)	Frequency of BNCs with NBUDs (‰)
	1	2	3	4							
NC	27	0	0	0	27	27	0.225±0.021	0.225±0.021	1.713±0.003	0.417±0.083	0.833±0.105
0.1% DMSO	33	1	0	0	35	34	0.292±0.024	0.283±0.025	1.589±0.076	0.500±0.129	1.083±0.154
MMC (0.100)	129	9	3	2	164	143	1.367±0.067	1.192±0.015[a]	1.366±0.019	2.333±0.357	3.083±0.473 [a]
Gly (0.500)	132	6	2	1	154	141	1.283±0.017	1.175±0.021[a]	1.545±0.054	1.667±0.211	1.666±0.247
Gly (0.100)	107	7	0	0	121	114	1.008±0.030	0.950±0.029[a]	1.556±0.017	0.883±0.105	1.333±0.167
Gly (0.050)	93	6	1	0	108	100	0.900±0.053	0.833±0.046[a]	1.576±0.015	0.750±0.111	1.250±0.1112
Gly (0.025)	68	5	0	0	78	73	0.650±0.048	0.608±0.035[a]	1.585±0.010	0.667±0.105	1.167±0.105
Gly (0.0125)	39	0	0	0	39	39	0.325±0.021	0.325±0.021	1.589±0.008	0.583±0.083	1.167±0.167

BNCs, Binucleated cells; *MNi*, micronuclei; *MNC*, cells with 1 or more micronuclei; *CBPI*, Cytokinesis-Block Proliferation Index; *NPBs*, nucleoplasmic bridges; *NBUDs*, nuclear buds; *S.E.*, Standard Error; *NC*, Negative Control; *MMC*, Mitomycin-C; *Gly*, Gliphosate

[a] $P = 0.004$ (significantly differs from the DMSO solvent control, Mann-Whitney test)



Fig. 2 Examples of observed micronuclei in binucleated cells (**a**, **b**), binucleated cell with nuclear buds (**c**), and binucleated cell with nucleoplasmic bridge (**d**). All these cells were observed at 0.500 μg/mL concentration of glyphosate

concentrations ranging between 10 and 20 mg/L (Koller et al. 2012), whereas Kwiatkowska et al. (2017) showed that, in peripheral blood mononuclear cells, glyphosate induces DNA damage in the concentration range from 0.5 mM (84.54 μg/mL) to 10 mM (1690 μg/mL), and a significant decrease of global DNA methylation at concentration of 0.25 mM (42.27 μg/mL). Interestingly, the same authors also observed a significantly increased methylation of p53 promoter at concentrations of 0.25 mM and 0.5 mM (42.27 and 84.54 μg/mL). This hypermethylation was found to be able to downregulate the p53 gene expression and to activate proto-oncogenes, with consequent genomic alterations and cancer risk. The possibility of glyphosate causing cancer promotion in skin cells and proliferation in breast cells has been also observed in vivo and in vitro studies by mouse and human models, respectively (George et al. 2010; Thongprakaisang et al. 2013). In this scenario, the results obtained in the present study require attention. Indeed, increased CA and MNi frequencies in peripheral blood lymphocytes have been positively associated with increased cancer risk and early events in carcinogenesis, respectively (Bonassi et al. 2004, 2011).

Moreover, it should be emphasized that, beyond the cases of intoxication where glyphosate content in blood was found to range from 0.6 to 150 μg/mL (Zouaoui et al. 2013), in subjects who were indirectly exposed to this substance, glyphosate was found in blood at concentrations of 0.074 ± 0.028 μg/mL (Aris and Leblanc 2011), a value about seven times lower with respect to the established ADI value (EFSA 2015), but in the range of concentrations we tested (from 0.5 to 0.0125 μg/mL).

At the same time, the genotoxicity of a compound should not be evaluated only after single administrations in in vitro or in vivo systems, but also, and especially, after chronic administration of the same compound, even at lower quantities than those established by the competent agencies. In this sense, the clastogenicity we observed at concentrations of 0.100, 0.050, and 0.025 μg/mL represents an important signal, especially in view of a chronic exposure to these glyphosate concentration levels.

Finally, no significant differences in CBPI and MI values were found between all tested concentrations and the solvent control, indicating that, at these concentrations, glyphosate does not influence in a significant manner the replicative capacity of the cells. These data differ from those found by Šiviková and Dianovský (2006) who observed a reduction of mitotic and proliferation indices in bovine lymphocytes, but at higher glyphosate concentrations (94.68 μg/mL and 189 μg/mL). Similarly, other authors described decreased levels of MI for other herbicides or insecticides, also in this case, at concentrations much higher than those tested in the present work (Kocaman et al. 2014; Yüzbaşioğlu et al. 2006).

Conclusions

In the present work, we provided evidences for cytogenetic effects of glyphosate on cultured human lymphocytes. Despite the limitations of an in vitro study due to the reduced sample size, it is our opinion that the increased cytogenetic damage

observed by our group at glyphosate concentrations equal and lower than the established ADI value requires further investigations in order to establish the effective genotoxicity threshold of this extensively used compound. Indeed, the glyphosate concentrations tested in the present work represent more realistic concentrations, likely to be encountered in everyday life, with respect to the higher doses evaluated in other published papers. In this scenario, in order to draw conclusions about the effects associated to the chronic exposure to low doses, in vitro studies are useful tools to investigate the dose-response effects, the molecular mechanisms of action of different environmental xenobiotics, and their genotoxicity. This last, compared to other types of toxicity, may result in severe consequences that can be also inherited after long periods following exposure. The same DNA damage that occurs in a single cell, caused by low but chronic exposure to genotoxic compounds, can cause unexpected severe consequences in the long run.

Funding information This research was supported by grant from the Italian Ministry of University and Scientific Research ("ex 60%").

Compliance with ethical standards

Conflict of interest The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

Alvarez-Moya C, Silva MR, Ramírez CV, Gallardo DG, Sanchez RL, Aguirre AC, Velasco AF (2014) Comparison of the in vivo and in vitro genotoxicity of glyphosate isopropylamine salt in three different organisms. Genet Mol Biol 37:105–110

Aris A, Leblanc S (2011) Maternal and fetal exposure to pesticides associated to genetically modified foods in eastern townships of Quebec, Canada. Rep Toxicol 31:528–533

Bai SH, Ogbourne SM (2016) Glyphosate: environmental contamination, toxicity and potential risks to human health via food contamination. Environ Sci Pollut Res 23:18988–19001

Bonassi S, Znaor A, Norppa H, Hagmar L (2004) Chromosomal aberrations and risk of cancer in humans: an epidemiologic perspective. Cytogenet Genome Res 104:376–382

Bonassi S, El-Zein R, Bolognesi C (2011) Micronuclei frequency in peripheral blood lymphocytes and cancer risk: evidence from human studies. Mutagenesis 26:93–100

Braz-Mota S, Sadauskas-Henrique H, Duarte RM, Val AL, Almeida-Val VM (2015) Roundup® exposure promotes gills and liver impairments, DNA damage and inhibition of brain cholinergic activity in the Amazon teleost fish *Colossoma macropomum*. Chemosphere 135:53–60

Duke SO (2017) The history and current status of glyphosate. Pest Manag Sci 74:1027–1034. https://doi.org/10.1002/ps.4652

European Commission (2017) Health and Food Safety Directorate-General. Summary report of the Appeal Committee – Phytopharmaceuticals – Plant protection Products – Legislation. https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/plant/docs/sc_phyto_20171127_pppl_summary.pdf. Accessed 02-29-2018

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2014) The 2011 European Union report on pesticide residues in food. EFSA J 12:3694 Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2014.3694/epdf. Accessed on 03-09-2018

European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) (2015) Conclusion on the peer review of the pesticide risk assessment of the active substance glyphosate (EFSA-Q-2014-00546, EFSA-Q-2015-00279, approved on 30 October 2015 by European Food Safety Authority). EFSA J 13(11):4302 Available from: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.2903/j.efsa.2015.4302/epdf. Accessed on 03-09-2018

FAO/WHO Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) (2014) Glyphosate. In: Pesticide residues in food 2013. Joint FAO/WHO meeting on pesticide residues and the WHO core assessment group on pesticide residues, Geneva, 17–26 September 2013. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations/Geneva, World Health Organization (WHO). (FAO Plant Production and Protection Paper No. 219); pp 225–228. Available from: http://www.fao.org/3/a-i3518e.pdf. Accessed on 03-08-2018

FAO/WHO Joint Meeting on Pesticide Residues (JMPR) (2016) Summary report for diazinon, glyphosate, malathion. Geneva, Switzerland: food and agriculture organization of the United Nations/Geneva, World Health Organization (WHO). pp 2. Available from: http://www.who.int/foodsafety/jmprsummary2016.pdf?ua=1. Accesse on 03-08-2018

Ferrer E, Santoni E, Vittori S, Font G, Mañes J, Sagratini G (2011) Simultaneous determination of bisphenol a, octylphenol, and nonylphenol by pressurised liquid extraction and liquid chromatography–tandem mass spectrometry in powdered milk and infant formulas. Food Chem 126:360–367

George J, Prasad S, Mahmood Z, Shukla Y (2010) Studies on glyphosate-induced carcinogenicity in mouse skin: a proteomic approach. J Proteome 73:951–964

Hoppe HW, Rüther M, Pieper S, Kolossa-Gehring M (2017) Glyphosate in German adults—time trend (2001 to 2015) of human exposure to a widely used herbicide. Int J Hyg Environ Health 220:8–16

International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Working Group (2015) Glyphosate. In: Some Organophosphate Insecticides and Herbicides: Diazinon, Glyphosate, Malathion, Parathion, and Tetrachlorvinphos. IARC Monogr 112:321–399 Available from: http://monographs.iarc.fr/ENG/Monographs/vol112/mono112.pdf. Accessed on 03-08-2018

Kašuba V, Milić M, Rozgaj R, Kopjar N, Mladinić M, Žunec S, Vrdoljak AL, Pavičić I, Marjanović Čermak AM, Pizent A, Lovaković BT, Želježić D (2017) Effects of low doses of glyphosate on DNA damage, cell proliferation and oxidative stress in the HepG2 cell line. Environ Sci Pollut Res 24:19267–19281

Kier LD, Kirkland DJ (2013) Review of genotoxicity studies of glyphosate and glyphosate-based formulations. Crit Rev Toxicol 43:283–315

King JJ, Wagner RS (2010) Toxic effects of the herbicide roundup® regular on Pacific northwestern amphibians. Northwest Nat 91:318–324

Kocaman AY, Rencüzoğullari E, Topaktaş M (2014) In vitro investigation of the genotoxic and cytotoxic effects of thiacloprid in cultured human peripheral blood lymphocytes. Environ Toxicol 29:631–641

Koller V, Fürhacker M, Nersesyan A, Mišík M, Eisenbauer M, Knasmueller S (2012) Cytotoxic and DNA-damaging properties of glyphosate and roundup in human-derived buccal epithelial cells. Arch Toxicol 86:805–813

Kwiatkowska M, Jarosiewicz P, Michałowicz J, Koter-Michalak M, Huras B, Bukowska B (2016) The impact of glyphosate, its metabolites and impurities on viability, ATP level and morphological changes in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells. PLoS One 11:e0156946

Kwiatkowska M, Reszka E, Woźniak K, Jabłońska E, Michałowicz J, Bukowska B (2017) DNA damage and methylation induced by

glyphosate in human peripheral blood mononuclear cells (*in vitro* study). Food Chem Toxicol 105:93–98

Lioi MB, Scarfi MR, Santoro A, Barbieri R, Zeni O, Di Berardino D, Ursini MV (1998) Genotoxicity and oxidative stress induced by pesticide exposure in bovine lymphocytes cultures in vitro. Mutat Res 403:13–20

Majewski MS, Coupe RH, Foreman WT, Capel PD (2014) Pesticides in Mississippi air and rain: a comparison between 1995 and 2007. Environ Toxicol Chem 33:1283–1293

Mañas F, Peralta L, Raviolo J, Ovando HG, Weyers A, Ugnia L, Cid MG, Larripa I, Gorla N (2009) Genotoxicity of glyphosate assessed by the comet assay and cytogenetic tests. Environ Toxicol Pharmacol 28:37–41

Marc J, Mulner-Lorillon O, Bellé R (2004) Glyphosate-based pesticides affect cell cycle regulation. Biol Cell 96:245–249

Marques A, Guilherme S, Gaivão I, Santos MA, Pacheco M (2014) Progression of DNA damage induced by a glyphosate-based herbicide in fish (*Anguilla anguilla*) upon exposure and post-exposure periods–insights into the mechanisms of genotoxicity and DNA repair. Comp Biochem Physiol C Toxicol Pharmacol 166:126–133

Mladinic M, Berend S, Vrdoljak AL, Kopjar N, Radic B, Zeljezic D (2009) Evaluation of genome damage and its relation to oxidative stress induced by glyphosate in human lymphocytes in vitro. Environ Mol Mutagen 50:800–807

Piesova E (2005) The effect of glyphosate on the frequency of micronuclei in bovine lymphocytes in vitro. Acta Veter 55:101–109

Romano MA, Romano RM, Santos LD, Wisniewski P, Campos DA, de Souza PB, Viau P, Bernardi MM, Nunes MT, de Oliveira CA (2012) Glyphosate impairs male offspring reproductive development by disrupting gonadotropin expression. Arch Toxicol 86:663–673

Santovito A, Cannarsa E, Schleicherova D, Cervella P (2018) Clastogenic effects of bisphenol a on human cultured lymphocytes. Hum Exp Toxicol 37:69–77

Šiviková K, Dianovský J (2006) Cytogenetic effect of technical glyphosate on cultivated bovine peripheral lymphocytes. Int J Hyg Environ Health 209:15–20

Thongprakaisang S, Thiantanawat A, Rangkadilok N, Suriyom T, Satayavivad J (2013) Glyphosate induces human breast cancer cells growth via estrogen receptors. Food Chem Toxicol 59:129–136

United States Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) (2012) Glyphosate. Section 3 registration concerning the application of glyphosate to carrots, sweet potato, teff, oilseeds (crop group (CG) 20) and to update the CG definitions for bulb vegetable (CG 3–07), fruiting vegetable (CG 8–10), citrus fruit (CG 10–10), porne fruit (CG 11–10), berry (CG 13–07), human health risk assessment. Washington (DC): U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA), Office of Chemical Safety and Pollution Prevention (No. Decision No.: 459870); pp 28

Yüzbaşioğlu D, Celik M, Yilmaz S, Unal F, Aksoy H (2006) Clastogenicity of the fungicide afugan in cultured human lymphocytes. Mutat Res 604:53–59

Zouaoui K, Dulaurent S, Gaulier JM, Moesch C, Lachatre G (2013) Determination of glyphosate and AMPA in blood and urine from humans: about cases of acute intoxication. Forensic Sci Int 226:20–25